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Abstract – This work reviews an ionospheric activity indicator useful for identifying disturbed periods affecting the performance of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS). This index is based in the Along Arc TEC Rate (AATR) and can be easily computed from dual-frequency GNSS measurements. The AATR indicator has been assessed over more than one Solar Cycle (2002–2017) involving about 140 receivers distributed world-wide. Results show that it is well correlated with the ionospheric activity and, unlike other global indicators linked to the geomagnetic activity (i.e. DST or Ap), it is sensitive to the regional behaviour of the ionosphere and identifies specific effects on GNSS users. Moreover, from a devoted analysis of different Satellite Based Augmentation System (SBAS) performances in different ionospheric conditions, it follows that the AATR indicator is a very suitable mean to reveal whether SBAS service availability anomalies are linked to the ionosphere. On this account, the AATR indicator has been selected as the metric to characterise the ionosphere operational conditions in the frame of the European Space Agency activities on the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay System (EGNOS). The AATR index has been adopted as a standard tool by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) for joint ionospheric studies in SBAS. In this work we explain how the AATR is computed, paying special attention to the cycle-slip detection, which is one of the key issues in the AATR computation, not fully addressed in other indicators such as the Rate Of change of the TEC Index (ROTI). After this explanation we present some of the main conclusions about the ionospheric activity that can extracted from the AATR values during the above mentioned long-term study. These conclusions are: (a) the different spatial correlation related with the MODified DIP (MODIP) which allows to clearly separate high, mid and low latitude regions, (b) the large spatial correlation in mid latitude regions which allows to define a planetary index, similar to the geomagnetic ones, (c) the seasonal dependency which is related with the longitude and (d) the variation of the AATR value at different time scales (hourly, daily, seasonal, among others) which confirms most of the well-known time dependences of the ionospheric events, and finally, (e) the relationship with the space weather events.
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1 Introduction

The Earth ionosphere is defined as the upper part of the atmosphere where ions and free electrons are present in quantities sufficient to affect the propagation of radio waves (IEEE, 1997). The electron densities are affected by Solar phenomena and the Earth space environment, which includes the Solar wind, the magnetosphere and the geomagnetic field (Zolesi & Cander, 2014). The identification of perturbed periods and regions within such a complex medium is vital to space-based applications, such as the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS).

The current and well-established space-weather indices used to characterise the ionospheric activity can be classified according to the scale of their extension. On one hand, global indices linked to the Sun (e.g., the Sun Spot Number (SSN) or the F10.7 centimetre Solar radio flux) are well suited to describe the total ionization level of the ionosphere (Hathaway, 2010); or linked to the Earth magnetic field (e.g., Kp, Ap, Dst) which is perturbed by changes in the Solar wind (Rostoker, 1972).
On the other hand, local indices measure the ionospheric conditions along the Line Of Sight (LOS) of a particular satellite-station pair. Indeed, amplitude and phase fluctuations in the GNSS signals are studied with the $S_4$ (Briggs & Parkin, 1963) and the $\sigma_\phi$ (Yeh & Chao-Han, 1982) indices, respectively. In addition, the time derivative of the Total Electron Content (TEC) sampled by dual-frequency receivers was shown capable of measuring the effects of ionospheric irregularities on GNSS signals (Doherty et al., 1994). Indeed, the Rate Of change of the TEC Index (ROTI) defined in Pi et al. (1997), has become one of the most widely-used ionospheric indicators to characterise the ionospheric fluctuations.

The focus of the present paper is to assess a regional ionospheric perturbation index. Several indexes have been defined with distinct degree of usability. For instance, indexes based on the comparison of TEC measurements with respect to non-perturbed reference TEC values such as a background TEC model or monthly median (see, for instance Jakowski et al., 2006; Gulyaeva & Stanislawska, 2008).

The aviation community recognized the importance of characterising ionospheric threats (i.e., spatial and temporal TEC gradients) to high-integrity augmentation systems (Walter et al., 2001). At a regional scale, the impact of the ionospheric activity on Satellite Based Augmentation System (SBAS) performance was related to the aforementioned geomagnetic Disturbance Storm Time ($DST$) index (see Datta-Barua et al., 2005). At a local scale, ionospheric threats for Ground Based Augmentation System (GBAS) were analysed, modelled and mitigated (Pullen et al., 2009).

The Along Arc TEC Rate (AATR) index (Sanz et al., 2014) was developed in the context of ionospheric studies for the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay System (EGNOS). A high degree of correlation was found between large values of AATR and low availability of EGNOS during Solar maximum conditions. The AATR was chosen as the metric to define the ionospheric operational conditions for the EGNOS and based in this index, a set of reference test scenarios were developed to improve the EGNOS availability in front of large AATR. The result of the index adoption can be seen in Figure 1, which extends to mid 2017 the results presented in Fig. 2 of Sanz et al., 2014. The relation between the availability of EGNOS (red dotted line) and the maximum value of AATR within the corresponding day (blue line with crosses) improves after the last EGNOS update in July 2015, depicted with a yellow background. The results correspond to a fault-free receiver (as defined in ICAO, 2006) named $MAS1$, see Table 1.

The AATR samples ionospheric irregularities directly on the actual instantaneous GNSS signals (i.e., without any ionospheric model) similarly to Jakowski et al. (2012). The AATR has been proven as very adequate to study and characterise how the ionospheric activity degrades the accuracy (and availability) of ionospheric models, in a more correlated manner than the aforementioned planetary $DST$ or $\sigma_\phi$ indices. Indeed, the AATR index has been adopted as a standard tool by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) for joint ionospheric studies in SBAS (ICAO, 2015).

The scientific community is also using the AATR index for ionospheric studies. It is being computed on a daily basis by different institutions for a world-wide distribution of permanent stations to monitor ionospheric disturbances (Béniguel et al., 2017). The AATR index has been used to select the periods and regions for which scintillation episodes are expected (Juan et al., 2017), prior to using other indexes (e.g. $S_4$ or $\sigma_\phi$) that require data at a high rate (typically at 50 Hz).

---

1 Project named Ionospheric Conditions and Associated Scenarios for EGNOS (ICASES), funded by the European Space Agency (ESA).
The present paper completes our previous AATR study presenting seasonal and longitudinal AATR patterns not previously discussed. Section 2 reviews the method to compute the AATR index, paying special attention to the cycle-slip detection. Section 3 describes the data set that has been used to assess the different dependencies of the AATR index. Section 4 analyses the main dependencies found in the results of AATR index. A Planetary index based on the AATR of high-latitude satellite-receiver pair (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 2008) is proposed in Section 5. Section 6 shows the relation between the AATR and the SBAS performance. Finally, in Section 7, the main conclusions of this work are highlighted and summarized.

2 The AATR index

This section is devoted to explain how the AATR is computed. A small change of notation is introduced with respect to the original index definition in (Sanz et al., 2014), to enhance the clarity of the index derivation. Furthermore, a subsection is devoted to explain how to identify discontinuities in the carrier-phase measurements; the so-called cycle-slips. Although the cycle-slip detection do not affect to the index definition, its implementation is a relevant aspect that can affect the values of the index.

2.1 Data preprocessing: cycle-slip detection

A cycle-slip is a jump of an integer number of wavelengths in the ambiguity of the carrier-phase measurements between a satellite-receiver pair (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 2008). Under nominal conditions, these discontinuities are produced: (i) when the receiver temporary losses the lock of the GNSS signal (e.g., obstructions due to trees, buildings, bridges or mountains) and (ii) when the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) is low (e.g., multipath, low satellite elevation, ionospheric scintillation or high receiver dynamics).

The consecutive carrier-phase measurements between two cycle-slips belong to the same “arc”, as the integer ambiguity remains constant during the arc (refer to Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 2008, for further details). The time-derivatives involved in the AATR computation are reset every time the arc changes (i.e., after every cycle-slip). Therefore, it requires a reliable detection of such jumps: misdetections falsely increase the AATR (or ROTI) values and false-detections reduce the available data and decrease the AATR (or ROTI) values. The analysis of such trade-off falls outside the scope of the present paper.

In order to adequately detect cycle-slips, we have used three different combinations of the carrier-phase measurements. The first detector looks for discontinuities after fitting a second degree polynomial to the geometry-free combination (L1 + L2) of carrier-phase measurements. The detector threshold is larger than in the original algorithm description (Sanz et al., 2013), because we target large variations in the L1. The second detector uses the Melbourne Wübbena combination, as in the Turboedit algorithm (Blewitt, 1990). Finally, the third detector models the Ionosphere-Free combination of carrier-phases (LIF) to the centimetre level, as in the geodetic de-trending presented in (Juan et al., 2017), to detect cycle-slips under ionospheric perturbed periods.

2.2 Computation of the AATR

Once the arcs are correctly identified, the variation of the STEC between two consecutive observations separated Δt, can be computed for a given epoch, i, as:

$$ΔSTEC_j^i(t) = L_1^i(t) - L_2^i(t - Δt)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where i denotes the receiver and j the satellite. Notice that other constant or slow-varying terms that are present in the carrier-phase measurements (e.g., the ambiguities and carrier hardware delays) are eliminated in the computation of (1). Conversely, misdetected cycle-slips contaminate (1) and hence the need of a reliable cycle-slip detection.

The second step is the computation of the index itself. Because we are interested in the rate of the STEC variation, we compute the instantaneous AATR:

$$AATR_j^i(t) = \frac{1}{(M(\varepsilon))^2} \frac{ΔSTEC_j^i(t)}{Δt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where (M(ε))^ε denotes the receiver and j the satellite. Notice that other constant or slow-varying terms that are present in the carrier-phase measurements (e.g., the ambiguities and carrier hardware delays) are eliminated in the computation of (1). Conversely, misdetected cycle-slips contaminate (1) and hence the need of a reliable cycle-slip detection.

The second step is the computation of the index itself. Because we are interested in the rate of the STEC variation, we compute the instantaneous AATR:

$$AATR_j^i(t) = \frac{1}{(M(\varepsilon))^2} \frac{ΔSTEC_j^i(t)}{Δt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
where $\Delta t$ is the sampling rate of the carrier-phase measurements (typically 1/30 Hz) and $M(\phi)$ is an obliquity, or slant, factor defined as the secant of the zenith angle at the mean ionospheric height, that is typically assumed at a 350 km of altitude. $M(\phi)$ projects the vertical to slant delay in a thin-shell model of the ionosphere and only depends on the satellite elevation ($\phi$) (see Sanz et al., 2013).

The purpose of dividing by the mapping function is to compare observations sampled at different elevations. The square of the mapping factor mitigates large AATR values at low elevations and, conversely, it assigns a large weight to high-elevation observations. When consecutive samples are time-differenced (e.g., ROTI or AATR) with a fixed time-step, the distance between Ionospheric Pierce Points (IPP) at low-elevation is greater than at high-elevation. Thus, even the Vertical TEC (VTEC) differences are greater at low elevation. Therefore, it makes sense to apply another correction factor (i.e., the square of the obliquity factor) to avoid this geometrical effect. In fact, when the TEC gradient (spatial variation) is considered, it is proportional to the TEC rate (time variation) if divided by the square of the mapping.

Finally, the RMS of the instantaneous $AATR_j(t)$, is computed for a pre-defined period for all "$j" satellites in view from a particular station, resulting into the AATR index per a given "$i" receiver as:

$$RMS_{AATR,i}(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T+\Delta T} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{sat}(t)} (AATR_j(t))^2}$$

(3)

where $N$ is total number of observations during the selected interval $\Delta T$ (typically one hour), after having summed all satellites in view, $n_{sat}(t)$, at every epoch $t$.

The main differences of the AATR index with respect to the ROTI are summarised as follows. The temporal resolution of the AATR is lower than of the ROTI; AATR is computed every hour, whereas the ROTI is computed every five minutes. The number of satellites involved in the AATR is greater than in the ROTI; AATR uses the rates of all the satellites in view, whereas the ROTI is computed on a satellite-per-satellite basis. In consequence, the AATR index sounds a wider area than each individual satellite track, with a considerable amount of output put than the ROTI. This sounding can only be roughly achieved than the ROTI. This sounding can only be roughly achieved with the AATR index. The AATR is computed on a satellite-per-satellite basis. In consequence, the AATR index sounds a wider area than each individual satellite track, with a considerable amount of output put than the ROTI. This sounding can only be roughly achieved than the ROTI. This sounding can only be roughly achieved with the AATR index.

The third cycle-slip detector explained in Section 2.1, requires to model the carrier-phase measurement up to the centimetre level. For this purpose, it uses: (i) reference receiver coordinates, which can be obtained from the weekly Solution Independent EXchange (SINEX) files or computed from a 24 h static Precise Point Positioning (PPP) processing (Kouba & Héroux, 2001), (ii) precise satellite orbits and clocks, obtained from the IGS rapid combined product at a 900 s cadence and (iii) the satellite and receiver antenna phase centres, obtained in the ANTenna EXchange format (ANTEX) files.

4 Results

This section presents the main dependencies found in the AATR, which can be divided as temporal, spatial, and related to space weather.

4.1 Temporal dependency

A temporal series of 17 years of AATR has been computed in order to identify the well-known dependency of ionospheric activity with the Solar activity (see for instance Liu et al., 2006). Figure 3 depicts representative examples of AATR long-term series for four IGS permanent stations globally distributed.

In the four cases, the 11 year Solar Cycle dependence can be observed as the main driver of the ionospheric activity measured through the AATR. However, the dependency has been found to be conditioned by the location of the receiver, confirming our previous research (Sanz et al., 2014). The low-latitude receivers exhibit a different periodicity (yearly in KOUR and semi-yearly in NKLG) in the maximum AATR values. This dependency is coupled with the longitude of the receiver, as it will be later analysed in Section 4.3. On the contrary, receivers located at high- or mid-latitude present large AATR values only during ionospheric storms (e.g., October 2003). In summary, the AATR long-term dependency follows in a similar manner the TEC evolution with respect to the Solar Cycle.

4.2 Latitude dependency

It is well-known that the ionospheric activity depends on the latitude where the receiver is located. Three different regions were identified in (Sanz et al., 2014), according to ionospheric activity. The low-, mid- and high- activity areas were determined according to the MODIP latitude, $\mu$, introduced in (Rawer, 1963):

$$\tan \mu = \frac{DIP}{\sqrt{\cos \phi}}$$

(4)

where DIP is the inclination angle of the Earth magnetic field, $B$, and the horizontal plane at a given geographic latitude $\phi$, computed using the International Geomagnetic Reference

2 Notice that the clock interpolation error is at the level of few centimetres and evolves slowly, whereas cycle-slips in the L1 or the L2 frequency produce a sudden discontinuity of 48 or 37 cm in the $L_{1P}$, respectively. Therefore, the satellite clock product at a cadence of 900 s is adequate to detect cycle-slips, as it was shown in (Juan et al., 2017).
Field (IGRF) routines (Thébault et al., 2015). Figure 2 indicates with black curves different MODIP latitudes. The classification of the AATR given in Sanz et al. (2014) is further refined in this work, after studying the time of the occurrence of large AATR values. Figure 4 depicts the percentage of AATR events greater than 0.6 TECUs/min (Sanz et al., 2014) occurred from 2002 to 2017. Notice that the AATR occurred during post-sunset hours are distinguished (with circles) from the rest of the cases (with crosses), in order to infer the dependency of the AATR with the MODIP latitude, coupled with the Local Time (LT). The relationship is summarised as follows: at low-latitude (absolute values of MODIP lower than 36°), the greatest ionospheric activity occurs after local sunset (typically before 2 and after 18 hours LT) as it was reported in Sanz et al. (2014). On the contrary, at high-latitudes (absolute values of MODIP greater than 60°) and mid-latitude (absolute values of MODIP from 36° to 60°), large AATR are achieved regardless of the LT, that is during ionospheric storms or super-storms, respectively. The dependence of high-latitude AATR with space weather conditions will be later analysed in detail in Section 4.4.

4.3 Longitude dependency

In spite of the main dependency of the AATR is with the MODIP and with the Solar Cycle, a relation of the AATR with the longitude has also been found. For this purpose, Figure 5 depicts the seasonal variation of the AATR for the entire 2014 of five low-latitude receivers, ordered by its longitude. It can be observed that in the westernmost receivers KOUR and DAKR, the largest activity is concentrated from September to April, explaining the yearly periodicity previously shown in the long-term temporal dependencies of Figure 3. On the contrary, large AATR in receivers NKLG and NURK occur in the vicinity of the Equinoxes (Mar 20 and Sept 23), exhibiting a semi-yearly periodicity. The relation of the AATR with the longitude is studied next.
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**Fig. 3.** From the top to bottom row and the left to right column. AATR values from 2002 to 2017 at receivers situated at high-latitude North-America (YELL), mid-latitude Europe (ACOR), low-latitude South America (KOUR) and low-latitude Africa (NKLG). Table 1 gathers the station coordinates.

![Figure 4](image2)

**Fig. 4.** AATR greater than 0.6 TECUs/min for the period from 2002 to 2017 for the receivers of Figure 2, as a function of the MODified DIP (MODIP) latitude. The background colour indicates different ionospheric activity regions: gray (low-latitude), yellow (high-latitude), white (mid-latitude).

The seasonal-longitudinal Tsunoda, 1985 model of predicts that equatorial scintillation linked to Equatorial Plasma Bubbles (EPB), is governed by the angle \( \alpha \) between the horizontal component of the geomagnetic field, \( B_H \), and the Solar Terminator (ST). Such occurrence is maximum when are \( B_H \) and \( ST \) are aligned, hence \( \alpha = 0^\circ \). The cosine of \( \alpha \) is depicted in Figure 5 with a solid line, using a constant arbitrary scaling factor of 5 and a \(-3.5\) bias. It can be observed that the alignment of \( B_H \) and \( ST \) is translated into large AATR values,
being the maximums modulated by the cosine function. As a rule of thumb, an experimental threshold can be defined of about $a = 26^\circ$ (that is $5 \cdot \cos(a) - 3.5 \approx 1$). Indeed, values of the $a$ angle smaller than $26^\circ$ (i.e., when the solid grey line is over 1) coincide with a significant enlargement of the AATR.

The characterisation of the triggers of the AATR requires a detailed study that is out of the scope of the present paper. However, the results depicted in Figure 5 suggest that large AATR values are triggered above a certain threshold of TEC. Indeed, when the TEC (or AATR) is close to such threshold, the trigger is linked to the TEC increase related to the Sun rotation. The signature of the Solar rotation period (i.e., 28 days) can be appreciated in the panels corresponding to the cases with moderate values of TEC (or AATR); specially in the DGAR and NURK receivers. In the rest of the cases, the TEC (or AATR) values are far from this threshold (too high or too low, like in the KOUR receiver), and then it is more difficult to observe the relation of the AATR with the Sun rotation.

Figure 6 extends the AATR study by plotting the maximum daily AATR values on a month versus longitude map, for about 100 low-latitude receivers (i.e., $|\text{MODIP}| \leq 36^\circ$). Two dark lines are superimposed on the map indicating the days when $a = 0^\circ$ at the geomagnetic equator. It can be observed that large AATR occur nearly the $a = 0^\circ$ lines. Furthermore, the temporal separation of the $a = 0^\circ$ events is found to be minimum in the Pacific sector (about 125 days), and maximum in the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) (about 296 days), in agreement to the five examples presented in Figure 3. This AATR longitudinal dependency coupled with the temporal dependency of $a = 0^\circ$, agrees with the occurrence map of EPB derived from low-altitude polar-orbiting satellites (Burke et al., 2004).

4.4 Space weather

This section presents the interrelation of the AATR with different space weather conditions. We have selected the geomagnetic storm of March 17 of 2015, i.e., St. Patrick's day, which has been extensively treated in the literature (see for instance, Jacobsen & Andalsvik, 2016; Beniguel et al., 2017, and references therein). For this purpose, Figure 7 is divided in panels showing different phenomena related to the Sun (panel a), to the interplanetary medium (panel b), to the ionosphere (panel c), and to the Earth (panel d).
This event corresponds to the yellow shadow in the panel (a) of Figure 7, where a peak can be observed in the Solar irradiance (red solid line) measured by the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) (Hill et al., 2005) and in the Solar flux (blue dashed line) sampled by the SOlar Helioscopic Observatory (SOHO) (Judge et al., 1998).

The panel (b) of Figure 7 depicts the coupling between Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) and the magnetosphere, that is the space environment located from two to several Earth radii. The IMF lines interconnect with terrestrial magnetic field lines, accumulating magnetic flux into the magnetosphere and expanding the polar caps (Milan et al., 2012). This effect is proportional to (i) the speed of the Solar wind (depicted with green crosses), (ii) to the intensity of the transverse component of the IMF (depicted with red pluses) and (iii) to the IMF orientation. These three datum have been downloaded from the OMNIWeb website (King & Papitashvili, 2005), to compute the day-side reconnection voltage (blue solid line) using the expression by Milan et al. (2012). When the reconnection potential is large enough, energetic particles precipitate from the magnetosphere towards the ionosphere.

The panel (c) of Figure 7 depicts the effect of the CME arrival to the Earth ionosphere two days later. In order to track the storm with a greater temporal resolution, the RMS of the AA TR has been computed every five minutes, instead of every hour. It can be observed that the ionospheric perturbation is sampled by the AA TR of several mid-latitude receivers situated at both hemispheres, see Table 1. As commented in Section 4.2, mid-latitude receivers present large AA TR values only during large storms. Indeed, St. Patrick storm was “severe” according to the NOAA space weather Scales (Poppe, 2000).
Finally, the panel (d) of Figure 7 shows the perturbation of the geomagnetic index $D_{ST}$, computed from Earth observations on a hourly basis. It can be seen the the main phase of the storm (negative $D_{ST}$ variation from $+56$ to $-223$ nT) coincides with the period of large AATR values. However, once the reconnection voltage (panel b) decreases during the first hours of March 18, the AATR activity vanishes. On the contrary, the $D_{ST}$ remains perturbed for some days after the storm.

5 planetary index based on the AATR

This section investigates the feasibility of defining a planetary storm ionospheric index based on the AATR and its capability to sample several phenomena like space weather events.

5.1 Correlation of AATR

In order to define such a planetary index, the first magnitude to quantify is the degree of correlation of the AATR values of receivers situated at different longitudes. In order to accommodate the diurnal variation of the ionosphere, the AATR are compared at the same LT. Indeed, receivers located in similar MODIPs sharing a constant LT can be assumed to have similar physical conditions (Rawer, 1963).

Figure 8 depicts the correlation coefficient for the AATR under these assumptions. Different behaviours are clearly appreciated in the three regions identified in Section 4.2. The high-latitude region, depicted with black dots, presents the worse correlation results, rapidly decaying to a small correlation ratio with increasing longitude difference. The mid-latitude region, depicted with red pluses, presents the largest correlation ratio, maintained at around 80% for all longitude differences (i.e., at a planetary scale). The low-latitude region, depicted with green asterisks, presents a mid-

correlation when all LTs are considered altogether. Nevertheless, if LTs after the local sunset are excluded (blue squares), the correlation increases to the level of the mid-latitude region.

5.2 Index proposal

The correlations observed for the AATR can be exploited to define a planetary index. However, the selection of the receivers involved in the computation of the planetary storm index needs to be carefully done. Equatorial and polar receivers are not suitable as they exhibit a correlation too low (see Fig. 8). On the contrary, most of the mid-latitude receivers are located in a too-quiet ionospheric region (see Fig. 3). Therefore, receivers used for the storm index are selected within the upper range of the middle latitude. Indeed, the AATR of receivers located around |MODIP| $\approx$ 50$^\circ$ are specially sensitive to the ionospheric perturbations related with space weather, in a similar manner than the planetary index $D_{ST}$. Therefore, the index is proposed as the average of AATR from receivers around the noon (e.g., 12–14 LT) and located at latitudes in the sub-aurora region (45$^\circ$ $\leq$ |MODIP| $\leq$ 55$^\circ$):

$$AATR = \frac{1}{N_{AATR}} \sum_{LT=12}^{14} \sum_{MOD=45}^{55} AATR_{MOD}(LT)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where $N_{AATR}$ is total number of AATR considered for the period. Notice that the computation of the average AATR index defined in (5) requires an enough number of receivers placed at the sub-auroral region. However, the current distribution of the IGS network provides access to hundreds of permanent stations at such latitudes, including tens of them in real-time.

Figure 9 depicts the results of the AATR (red line) for the entire 2003, together with the $D_{ST}$ index (blue line). It can be observed a coincidence on time between the peaks of both
indexes. However, it should be noticed that the proposed AATR index presents two differences in front of the DST index: (i) the AATR index is not affected by recovering periods of geomagnetic storms, and (ii) there is not need of calculating a yearly or daily means for discounting long-term signatures, as it is done for computing the DST.

6 Application of the AATR to navigation

This section presents the application of the proposed storm index to the European and American SBAS for the aforementioned St. Patrick storm. We have used our open-source gLAB (Sanz et al., 2012) to compute the hourly availability maps for the Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) (over North America) and EGNOS (over Europe) depicted in Figure 10. The availability is computed as the percentage of epochs where the Protection Levels are under the Alarm Limits of 40 m (horizontal) and 50 m (vertical) simultaneously. Each pixel represents a square of 1 degree of resolution, without applying any interpolation.

Fig. 10. Relation between the mean value of AATR of sub-auroral receivers (top panel) and the SBAS availability during a nominal hour (2 h, second panel) and during two storm hours (16 h, third and 23 h, bottom panel) within DoY 76 of 2015 (March 17). The colour bar depicts the percentage of epochs where the Protection Levels are under the Alarm Limits of 40 m (horizontal) and 50 m (vertical) simultaneously. Each pixel represents a square of 1 degree of resolution, without applying any interpolation.
The top panel of Figure 10 depicts the mean global AATR index with a solid black line. It can be observed that the perturbation in the index starts at 6 h, reaches two pronounced peaks at 16 h and at 23 h, and after 24 h, the values return to a nominal (unperturbed) value. Then, we have selected the 2 h (green background) as representative of the nominal performance of the SBAS, whereas the hours associated to the two peaks (red backgrounds) are used to assess both SBAS during severe storm conditions.

Indeed, the second panel of Figure 10 depicts availabilities close to 100% (red colours) on the coverage area of each SBAS. On the contrary, the third and fourth panels depict a severe degradation of the availability (blue colours) on the coverage regions of both SBAS at different hours. WAAS and EGNOS return to a nominal performances after 24 h (not depicted), in agreement with small AATR values. On the contrary, the $D_{ST}$ index is kept perturbed (see bottom panel of Fig. 7).

The depicted example illustrates how the AATR index (or its average AATR) helps to distinguish problems related with the ionospheric activity from other error sources (e.g., satellite clocks) which can affect to the EGNOS service. This is the reason why EGNOS is using the AATR routinely as a metric for characterizing the ionospheric conditions.

7 Conclusions

There are four important conclusions from the research presented in this paper.

- The AATR is a reliable index for ionospheric studies, that can be computed from actual GNSS carrier-phase measurements at a low sampling rate.
- The AATR follows the 11 year period of the Solar Cycle. A seasonal dependency has been found, being modulated by the angle between the horizontal component of the Earth magnetic field and the Solar Terminator.
- The AATR are largest at low-latitudes but are circumcribed to post-sunset time. Excluding such period, the equatorial ionospheric activity is moderate and as spatially (i.e., longitudinally) correlated as in mid-latitude.
- A planetary storm index AATR can be defined using the mean value of AATR of sub-auroral receivers, which are correlated with space weather events. The index can be used to see degradations of current SBAS.
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